State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-016		
Complainant:		No.	1383810717A
Judge:		No.	1383810717B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased against him and should have disqualified himself. He further alleged the judge failed to rule on a motion to proceed pro per and failed to set a hearing on a request to access the law library. After investigating the allegations and reviewing the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 18, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 18, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of AZ Commission on Judicial Misconduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Linte 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Gudicial Misconduct By the Honorable Gudge

State of AZ V.

CR 2009

Dear Commission:

This incidents occurred between the dates of 22 nd of December 2009 and 4th of January 2010.

My attorney @ this time was , the Judge (Pro Jem). On Dec. 22, 2009 filed a motion to Withdraw as Counsel and Requested Substitute Counsel. The attorney's reasoning was based upon Ethics violations, between a client he was representing and myself.

The client he was (is) representing; fms. has done this for about 2 plus years. Ms. has been my attorney for about 6 months.

The alleged othics violation between the attoiney and myself is or should fall under the peramiters of attorney-client confidentiality. What transpired

was Mr. either after or before my Jan. 4, 2010 hearing on his motion to withdraw, stated to me that, the told Judge of the ethics violation, to ensure Judge would grant his motion

While I can't know exactly the date my attorney told Judge or the exact Ethics violation(s) on both parties, but, I do not feel (due to nature of the ethics violation on Judge), partly that, I) he should of been privy to the alleged attorney-client privilege (2) I feel Judge will not be a fair and importial tries of facts, if I choose to go to a trial before him. In part due to the nature of the ethics violation, and I feel Judge will hold it against me, if I choose to go to trial and any rulings he has to make, will be biased and prejudiced against me, due to him now knowing the exact nature of the attorney-client others violation.

Let this time, I'll be filing on the ottoiney with the State Bar of AZ and writing the Sr. Gudge; and apprising him of my complaints and ask for a change of Judges.

all I'm asking from your office is to investigate

this matter and prevent it from happening again. There's no way Judge will be fair to me now that he's aware of the 'ethics violation' doction the cottoiney and myself. Thank you.

Respectfully Submitted